

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

HARRIS METHODIST OF FORT WORTH 3255 WEST PIONEER PARKWAY ARLINGTON TX 76013

Respondent Name

FEDERAL INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-09-6844-01

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 17

MFDR Date Received

SEPTEMBER 16, 2008

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "We have found in this audit that you have not paid the appropriate reimbursement according to the Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline. Per the ACIHFG, claims with charges over \$40,000 are to be payable at 75% of charges...This would mean, these charges on this claim should be recognized as over the stop-loss threshold and processed at 75% of charges."

Amount in Dispute: \$24,495.20

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary Dated October 1, 2008: "On January 4, 2008, Claimant was admitted to the hospital due to trauma...Therefore, in accordance with DWC 134.401(c)(5), the entire admission was reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate."

Response Submitted by: Downs Stanford, P.C.

Respondent's Supplemental Position Summary Dated September 9, 2011: "The Admission At Issue Was a Trauma Admission; Not Eligible for Reimbursement Under the Stop-Loss Methodology."

Response Submitted by: Downs Stanford, P.C.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
January 4, 2008 through January 15, 2008	Inpatient Services	\$24,495.20	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401 sets out the fee guideline for acute care inpatient hospital services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 provides for fair and reasonable reimbursement of health care in the absence of an applicable fee guideline.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - Reimbursement amount based on, Workers' Compensation State Fee Schedule.
 - Procedure /Service is not paid.
 - Workers' Compensation State Fee, See Additional Information.
 - 183-Non-Covered Service.
 - B15-Procedure/Service is not paid separately.
 - TC-Technical component.
 - W12-Extent on injury. Not finally adjudicated.
 - 500-Reimbursement amount based on U&C allowance.
 - ORC-See Additional Information.
 - W1-Workers' Compensation State Fee Schedule Adj.
 - 97-Charge included in another charge or service.
 - 214-75% of reasonable & customary charge.
 - 940-Re-evaluation-no additional payment recommended.
 - W4-No additional payment allowed after review.

Findings

- 1. The insurance carrier reduced or denied disputed services with reason "W12-Extent on injury. Not finally adjudicated". The Division records support that parties agreed that a extent of injury issue does not exist in this dispute.
- 2. This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), which requires that when "Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)" diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate. Review of box 67 on the hospital bill finds that the principle diagnosis code is listed as 852.06.
- 3. The requestor asks for reimbursement under the stop loss provision of the Division's *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline* found in Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6). The requestor asserts in the position statement that "We have found in this audit that you have not paid the appropriate reimbursement according to the Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline. Per the ACIHFG, claims with charges over \$40,000 are to be payable at 75% of charges...This would mean, these charges on this claim should be recognized as over the stop-loss threshold and processed at 75% of charges." Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6), effective August 1, 1997, 22 TexReg 6264, states, in part, that "The diagnosis codes specified in paragraph (5) of this subsection are exempt from the stop-loss methodology and the entire admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate." As stated above, the Division has found that the primary diagnosis is a code specified in Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5); therefore, the disputed services are exempt from the stop-loss methodology and the entire admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate pursuant to Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 2, 2006, requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers' compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection §134.1(d) which states that "Fair and reasonable reimbursement: (1) is consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensures that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) is based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available."
- 5. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.

- 6. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(G), effective May 25, 2008, 33 Texas Register 3954, applicable to requests filed on or after May 25, 2008, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) when the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR), as applicable." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
 - The requestor's position statement asserts that "We have found in this audit that you have not paid the
 appropriate reimbursement according to the Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline. Per the
 ACIHFG, claims with charges over \$40,000 are to be payable at 75% of charges...This would mean, these
 charges on this claim should be recognized as over the stop-loss threshold and processed at 75% of
 charges."
 - The requestor seeks reimbursement based upon stop-loss methodology; however, the disputed services are exempt per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)...
 - Documentation of the amount of reimbursement received for these same or similar services was not presented for review.
 - The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a percentage
 of a hospital's billed charges does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was
 considered and rejected by the Division in the adoption preamble to the Division's former Acute Care
 Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 that:

"A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources."

Therefore, a reimbursement amount that is calculated based upon a percentage of a hospital's billed charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.

- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published studies or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support the requested reimbursement.
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

		1/16/2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Authorized Signature

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.